



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/724,799	11/28/2000	Kenneth H. Abbott	294438023US1	7802
500 7590 05/16/2007 SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC 701 FIFTH AVE SUITE 5400 SEATTLE, WA 98104			EXAMINER WINDER, PATRICE L	
			ART UNIT 2145	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 05/16/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/724,799	ABBOTT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Patrice Winder	2145	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Patrice Winder.

(3) Gustavo Narvaez.

(2) Ron Krosky, Reg# 58,564.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 11 May 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 42,56 and 57.

Identification of prior art discussed: Szymanski.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

PATRICE WINDER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant is reminded that providing the Examiner with an agenda of more than the general statement, the Applicant does not agree with the rejection would have improved the Examiner's ability to prepare for the interview. Applicant argues that Szymanski does not teach a "circular reference", which applicant argued should be defined by a to return to the originator. So according to Szymanski's patent the events would need to return to original consumer. In rebuttal, the Examiner pointed Applicant to rejection where Szymanski taught "sequential consumers". Applicant argued that the sequential nature does not provide a return. The Examiner argued that events in Szymanski are forwarded from one sequential consumer to another. There is nothing to prevent Szymanski from the first consumer being the last consumer. The interview concluded because Applicant deemed the discussion has been helpful in preparing a response .